arXiv:1507.08904v1 [math.NT] 31 Jul 2015

THE KOHNEN PLUS SPACE FOR HILBERT-SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS

REN-HE SU

Abstract. The Kohnen plus space, roughly speaking, is a space consisting of modular forms of half integral weight with some property in Fourier coefficients. For example, the n-th coefficient of a normal modular form of weight $k+1/2$ in the plus space is 0 unless $(-1)^k n$ is congruent to some square modulo 4. The concept of plus space was initially introduced by Kohnen in 1980. Eichler and Zagier showed that the plus space is isomorphic to the space of Jacobi forms in the one variable case. Later, Ibukiyama generalized these results to the cases for Siegel modular forms in 1992. Also, Hiraga and Ikeda generalized these results to the cases for Hilbert modular forms in 2013. In this paper, we continue to consider the case of Hilbert-Siegel modular forms. An analogue of the previous results will be given.

Notations

For any complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, put $\mathbf{e}(z) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$. When R is a ring and m is a positive integer, $M_m(R)$ is the set consisting of all $m \times m$ matrices with entries in R and $\mathrm{Sym}_m(R)$ consists of symmetric matrices in $M_m(R)$. If F is a global field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$, a half-integral symmetric matrix in $M_m(F)$ is a matrix consisting of entries in $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{o}$ and in particular diagonal entries in $\mathfrak{o}$. For a positive integer n and some arbitrary ordered n-tuple α, when there is no special remark, α_i automatically stands for the i-th component of α for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If A is an n-tuple of matrices in $M_m(\mathbb{R})$, the notation $A \geq 0$ means that A_i is positive semi-definite for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Similarly, the notation $A > 0$ means that A_i is positive definite for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to sincerely show his gratitude to Prof. Ikeda for his very kind and useful advisements. This paper could not be completed without his instruction. The author also would like to dedicate this paper, his second thesis, to his family, friends and Prof. Ikeda.

1. Introduction

Before introducing the main results, let us define the Hilbert-Siegel Jacobi forms and the plus space for Hilbert-Siegel modular forms.

Let $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ be a totally real field of degree n over $\mathbb{Q}$ with integer ring $\mathfrak{o}$ and different $\mathfrak{d}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote the n real embeddings of F by ι_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $\xi \in F$, $\iota_i(\xi)$ will sometimes simply be denoted by ξ_i or ξ_{∞_i}. An element $\xi \in F$ will be considered as a real n-tuple.

Also, let us fix a positive integer $m > 1$. The Siegel upper half-space of genus m is defined by

$$\mathfrak{h}_m = \{X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in M_m(\mathbb{C}) | X, Y \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathbb{R}), Y > 0\}$$

where as usual, Sym_m is the set of symmetric $m \times m$ matrices and $Y > 0$ means Y is positive definite. The set $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$ consists of all n-tuples whose components are in $\mathfrak{h}_m$. Similarly, $(\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ consists of all n-tuples having column vectors in $\mathbb{C}^m$ as components. Note that any vector in this paper will be considered as a column vector.

For any ring R, the symplectic group of size $2m$ is defined by

$$Sp_m(R) = \left\{ g \in M_{2m}(R) \middle| g \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & -I_m \\ I_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix} {}^t g = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & -I_m \\ I_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It is well-known that $Sp_m(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ by

$$g(z, w) = (gz, {}^t(cz + d)^{-1}w) = ((az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}, {}^t(cz + d)^{-1}w)$$

for $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{h}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(R) \quad (a, b, c, d \in M_n(\mathbb{R})).$$

In the same way, if we consider $Sp_m(F)$ as an subset of $Sp_m(\mathbb{R})^n$, then $Sp_m(F)$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ componentwisely.

Two important congruence subgroups of $Sp_m(F)$ are $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(1)$ and $\Gamma_0(4)$. Their definitions are

(1.1)

$$\Gamma_0(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F) \middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(\mathfrak{d}^{-1}), c \in M_m(\mathfrak{d}) \right\}$$

and

(1.2)

$$\Gamma_0(4) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F) \middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(\mathfrak{d}^{-1}), c \in M_m(4\mathfrak{d}) \right\},$$

respectively.

Now we define the Hilbert-Siegel Jacobi forms.

Definition 1.1. *Let $G(z,w)$ be a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ and $k=(k_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ be an n-tuple of positive integer. If G satisfies the following two conditions:*

(1) $G(z,w+zx+y)=\mathbf{e}(-\mathrm{Tr}({}^txzx+2{}^txw))G(z,w)$ *for any* $x\in\mathfrak{o}^m, y\in(\mathfrak{d}^{-1})^m$

(2) $G(\gamma(z,w))=N(\det(cz+d))^k\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}({}^tw(cz+d)^{-1}cw))G(z,w)\left(\gamma=\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix}\in\Gamma\right)$,

then G is called a Jacobi form of weight k and index 1 or simply of weight k. Here any addition and multiplication and determinant of n-tuples are simply calculated componentwisely and for any complex n-tuple $\tau=(\tau_i)_{1\le i\le n}$, we put

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^n\tau_i,\quad N(\tau)^k=\prod_{i=1}^n\tau_i^{k_i}.$$

The space of all Jacobi forms of weight k is denoted by $J_{k,1}$.

Let G be a Jacobi form of weight k. We define

$$(G|_{k,1}g)(z,w)=G(g(z,w))N(\det(cz+d))^{-k}\mathbf{e}(-\mathrm{Tr}({}^tw(cz+d)^{-1}cw))$$

for $g=\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix}$. It is not difficult to see that $G|_{k,1}g$ has a Fourier expansion

$$G|_{k,1}g=\sum_{N,r}f_g(N,r)\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{tr}(Nz)))\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}({}^trz))$$

where N and r run over certain lattice in $\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$ and F^m, respectively, and that $f_g(N,r)=0$ unless $\begin{pmatrix}N&r/2\\{}^tr/2&1\end{pmatrix}\ge 0$ by Köcher's principle. Here tr denotes the usual matrix trace. In particular, we let $f(N,r)=f_{I_{2m}}(N,r)$.

Definition 1.2. *With the above notations, if G has the property that*

$$f_g(N,r)=0 \text{ unless } \begin{pmatrix}N&r/2\\{}^tr/2&1\end{pmatrix}>0$$

for any $g\in Sp_m(F)$, we call G a Jacobi cusp form. The subspace of $J_{k,1}$ consisting of all Jacobi cusp forms is denoted by $J_{k,1}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}$.

For any $\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m$, the theta function θ_λ is a function on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n\times(\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ defined by

$$\theta_\lambda(z,w)=\sum_{p\in\mathfrak{o}^m}\mathbf{e}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\left({}^t(p+\frac{\lambda}{2})z(p+\frac{\lambda}{2})+2\cdot{}^t(p+\frac{\lambda}{2})w\right)\right).\tag{1.3}$$

One easily see that θ_λ does not depend on the choice of λ. There are 2^{nm} distinct such theta functions. Now if G is a Jacobi form of weight k, it is well-known that for any $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{o}/2\mathfrak{o})^m$ there is a unique holomorphic function G_λ on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$ such that

$$G(z,w) = \sum_{\lambda \in (\mathfrak{o}/2\mathfrak{o})^m} G_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w). \tag{1.4}$$

The above formula is called the theta expansion for G.

Now consider the function $\theta(z) = \theta_0(z,0)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$. It is actually a modular form with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$ of weight $1/2$. The factor of automorphy of half-integral weight is defined by

$$\tilde{j}(\gamma, z) = \frac{\theta(\gamma z)}{\theta(z)} \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(4) \text{ and } z \in \mathfrak{h}_m^n.$$

It is shown in [8] that

$$\tilde{j}(\gamma, z)^4 = N(\det(cz+d))^2 \text{ if } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4).$$

We are now ready to define the plus space for Hilbert-Siegel modular forms. Let $k = (k_1, ..., k_n)$ be an n-tuple of positive integers. For simplicity, here we only consider the case that $k_1 \equiv k_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv k_n \pmod 2$. The general case will be considered later in Section 6. The n-tuple k is called even if its entries are even, or odd if its entries are odd. If k is parallel, i.e, if $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n$, without any confusion, we denote the components of k also by k. We let $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ be the space of Hilbert-Siegel modular forms of weight $k+1/2$ with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$, that is, $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ is the complex linear space of holomorphic functions h on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$ such that

$$h(\gamma z) = J^{k+1/2}(\gamma, z)h(z)$$

for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$ where

$$J^{k+1/2}(\gamma, z) = \begin{cases} \tilde{j}(\gamma, z) \prod_{j=1}^n \det(c_j z_j + d_j)^{k_j} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \tilde{j}(\gamma, z)^3 \prod_{j=1}^n \det(c_j z_j + d_j)^{k_j - 1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Again, by Köcher's principle, we do not need the cusp condition for the definition of a modular form under the restrictions of F and m. A modular form $h \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ has a Fourier expansion $h(z) = \sum_T c(T)\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz)))$ where in the summation T runs over all positive semi-definite half-integral symmetric matrices and $c(T) \neq 0$ only if $T \geq 0$. We call h a cusp form if h^4 is a normal cusp Hilbert-Siegel modular

form of weight $4k+2$. The subspace of $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ consisting of the cusp forms is denoted by $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.

Definition 1.3. *With the notations above, the plus space $M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ is the subspace of $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ defined by*

$$M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) = \Big\{ h(z) \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \,\Big|\, c(T) = 0 \text{ unless } T \equiv (-1)^k \lambda \cdot {}^t\lambda \bmod 4L^*_m \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m \Big\}$$

where L^*_m is the set of all $m \times m$ symmetric half-integral matrices. Also, we let

$$S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) = M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \cap S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

The space $S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ is also called a plus space.

The space just been defined is an analogue of which was initially brought up by Kohnen in [6]. Also, the Siegel case and Hilbert case were established by Ibukiyama in [3] and Hiraga and Ikeda in [4], respectively. This is the reason why we only consider the case $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and $m > 1$ in this paper, though similar result and proof apply to the previous cases if we add some adjustment for the cusp condition in the definitions.

In this paper, as in [4], we will construct a Hecke operator E^K on $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ such that the fixed subspaces of E^K are the plus spaces. We set

$$\Gamma' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F) \middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m((4\mathfrak{d})^{-1}), c \in M_m(4\mathfrak{d}) \right\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the adele ring of F. We set a character of ψ of $\mathbb{A}/F$ such that for any archimedean place v of F, the local character ψ_v is given by $x \to \mathbf{e}((-1)^k x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $(-1)^k$ is 1 if k is even and -1 if k is odd. Fixing a non-archimedean place v of F, the completion of F with respect to v is denoted by F_v. Let $\widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)}$ be the metaplectic double covering of $Sp_m(F_v)$. Also, for any subset $S \subset Sp_m(F_v)$, we denote its inverse image in $\widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)}$ by $\widetilde{S}$. Let ω_{ψ_v} be the Weil representation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)}$ on the Schwartz space $\mathbb{S}(F_v^m)$. The inner product for any two functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 in $\mathbb{S}(F_v^m)$ is defined by

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \int_{F_v^m} \Phi_1(X)\overline{\Phi_2(X)} dX.$$

Here the Haar measure dX on F_v^m is normalized so that $Vol(\mathfrak{o}_v^m) = 1$. Now denote the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{o}^m$ by $\Phi_{0,v}$. The local Hecke operator E_v^K is defined by

$$E_v^K(g) = \begin{cases} |2|_v^m(\Phi_{0,v}, \omega_{\psi_v}(g)\Phi_{0,v}) & \text{if } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma'_v} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbb{A}_f = \prod'_{v<\infty} F_v$ be the finite part of $\mathbb{A}$. The global Hecke operator E^K is a function on the metaplectic double covering $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ of $Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)$ defined by

$$E^K = \prod_{v<\infty} E_v^K.$$

Note that $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ acts on the space of all automorphic forms lifted from the Hilbert modular forms of weight $k + 1/2$ by the right translation ρ. This induces a representation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ on the space of all Hilbert-Siegel modular forms of weight $k + 1/2$, which is also denoted by ρ. For a Hecke operator φ on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ with some properties, φ acts on $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ by

$$\rho(\varphi)h(z) = \int_{\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}/\{\pm 1\}} (\rho(g)h)(z)\varphi(g)dg$$

for any $h \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ where $\{\pm 1\}$ is the kernel of the canonical mapping $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)} \to Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and dg is some normalized Haar measure on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}/\{\pm 1\}$. The spaces $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ are invariant under the Hecke operator E^K. Letting $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$ denote the corresponding fixed subspaces, our first main result states that they are the plus spaces we defined above.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K} = M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$$

and

$$S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K} = S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

Let $h(z) = \sum_T c(T)\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz))) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$, we set

$$h_\lambda(z) = \sum_{\substack{-T \equiv \lambda^t\lambda \\ \mathrm{mod}\, 4L_m^*}} c(T)\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz))}{4}\right). \tag{1.6}$$

By the definition of the plus space, we have

$$h(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in (\mathfrak{o}/2\mathfrak{o})^m} h_\lambda(4z).$$

Now we restrict us to the that case k is odd unless mn is even. Our second main result states that h_λ and G_λ we defined before can be used to construct a Jacobi form and a modular form in the plus space, respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be an n-tuple of positive integers which is odd if mn is odd. For $h \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $G \in J_{k+1,1}$, letting h_λ and G_λ be as in (1.6) and (1.4), respectively, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} h_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w) \in J_{k+1,1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m))^m} G_\lambda(4z) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$$

where θ_λ are the theta functions defined in (1.3). The two canonical mappings are the inverse of each other. Thus we have

$$M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \cong J_{k+1,1}$$

as linear spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, we have

$$S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \cong J^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1,1}.$$

The case $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $m = 1$ for the theorem was given by Eichler and Zagier in [2]. The Siegel case and Hilbert case were treated by Ibukiyama in [3] and [4], respectively.

Let us briefly state the contents in the rest of the paper. First, we will introduce the Weil representation and give an important lemma about it in Section 2 and 3. Next, we define the idempotents Hecke operators e^K and E^K in Section 4. And we state some very brief facts we need about the archimedean places in Section 5. Using the results in the previous sections, we construct the automorphic forms of half integral weight in Section 6. Finally, we define the Kohnen plus space and the Jacobi forms and prove our two main theorems in Section 7 and 8.

2. Weil Representations

Hereafter throughout the whole paper, $m > 1$ is a fixed positive integer. Let F be a local field with characteristic 0. If F is archimedean, we assume $F = \mathbb{R}$. If F a finite extension over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we let $\mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ denote

its integer ring and prime ideal, respectively. Moreover, we let q and ϖ be the order of $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ and a prime element, respectively. Now fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we set $\psi(x) = \mathbf{e}(x)$ or $\mathbf{e}(-x)$. In the non-archimedean case, the index of ψ, which we denote by c_ψ, is the largest integer c such that $\psi(\mathfrak{p}^{-c}) = 1$. Also, we fix an element $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ of order c_ψ if F is non-archimedean. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we let $\boldsymbol{\delta} = 1$. Furthermore, the Haar measure dx of F is the unique one such that $\mathfrak{o}$ has volume 1 if F is non-archimedean or the usual Lebesgue measure otherwise. The Haar measure dX of F^m is simply defined to be $\prod_i dx_i$ where we write $X = {}^t(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)$.

Now we denote the metaplectic double covering of $Sp_m(F)$ by $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$, that is,

$$\widetilde{Sp_m(F)} = \{[g, \epsilon] | g \in Sp_m(F), \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}\}$$

equipped with the group multiplication

$$[g_1, \epsilon_1][g_2, \epsilon_2] = [g_1 g_2, \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \boldsymbol{c}(g_1, g_2)].$$

Here $\boldsymbol{c}(g_1, g_2)$ is Rao's 2-cocycle as in [7]. If $\mathbf{g}$ is an element in $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$, we set $\epsilon(\mathbf{g}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ to be the latter component of $\mathbf{g}$.

Some notations for elements in $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ should be given for simplicity. For any $g \in \widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$, let $[g] = [g, 1]$. Also, we let

$$\mathbf{u}^\sharp(B) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} I_m & B \\ 0_m & I_m \end{pmatrix}\right], \qquad \mathbf{u}^\flat(B) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0_m \\ B & I_m \end{pmatrix}\right], \quad \text{for } B \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F),$$

$$\mathbf{m}(A) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0_m \\ 0_m & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right], \quad \mathbf{w}_A = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0_m & -{}^tA^{-1} \\ A & 0_m \end{pmatrix}\right], \quad \text{for } A \in GL_m(F).$$

If L is any subset of $Sp_m(F)$, $\widetilde{L}$ denotes the inverse image of L in $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$.

Set $\mathbb{S}(F^m)$ to be the space of Schwartz functions on F^m. For any $\Phi \in \mathbb{S}(F^m)$, the Fourier transform of Φ is defined by

$$\widehat{\Phi}(X) = |\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{m/2} \int_{F^m} \Phi(Y)\psi({}^tYX)dY. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that $|\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{m/2}dX$ is the self-dual Haar measure for the Fourier transformation.

It is known that for any $a \in F^\times$, there is a constant $\alpha_\psi(a)$ such that

$$\int_F \phi(x)\psi(ax^2)dx = \alpha_\psi(a)|2a|^{-1/2} \int_F \hat{\phi}(x)\psi(-\frac{x^2}{4a})dx$$

where ϕ is a Schwartz function on F and $\hat{\phi}$ is its Fourier transform defined in the similar manner as above. The constant $\alpha_\psi(a)$ is called

the Weil constant or the Weil index. It satisfies $\alpha_\psi(a)^8 = 1$ and does not depend on ϕ. One can easily see that $\alpha_\psi(ab^2) = \alpha_\psi(a)$ for any $b \in F^\times$ and $\alpha_\psi(-a) = \overline{\alpha_\psi(a)}$.

We now introduce the Weil representation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ on $\mathbb{S}(F^m)$. Let Φ be any Schwartz function in $\mathbb{S}(F^m)$, the Weil representation ω_ψ with respect to ψ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(B))\Phi(X) &= \psi({}^tXBX)\Phi(X),\\
\omega_\psi(\mathbf{m}(A))\Phi(X) &= \frac{\alpha_\psi(1)}{\alpha_\psi(\det A)}|\det A|^{1/2}\Phi({}^tAX),\\
\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{I_m})\Phi(X) &= \alpha_\psi(1)^{-m}|2|^{m/2}\widehat{\Phi}(-2X),
\end{aligned}$$

where $B \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$ and $A \in GL_m(F)$. From these we get that

$$\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_C)\Phi(X) = \frac{\alpha_\psi(1)^{1-m}}{\alpha_\psi(\det C)}|\det 2C^{-1}|^{1/2}\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(C))\widehat{\Phi}(-2C^{-1}X),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(S))\Phi(X) = \frac{\alpha_\psi(1)^{1-2m}}{\alpha_\psi((-1)^m)}&|4\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{m/2}\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(-I_m))\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{-I_m}\mathbf{u}^\sharp(-S)\mathbf{w}_{I_m})\\
&\times\int_{F^m}\widehat{\Phi}(-2Y)\psi(-{}^tYSY + 2{}^tYX)dY,
\end{aligned}$$

where $S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$ and $C \in GL_m(F)$. For any $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathbb{S}(F^m)$, the inner product of Φ_1 and Φ_2 is

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \int_{F^m}\Phi_1(X)\overline{\Phi_2(X)}dX.$$

The Weil representation is unitary with respect to the inner product.

From now in this section we suppose that F is non-archimedean. We write $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{p}^{c_\psi}$. As in the introduction, in the local case, we also let

(2.2)
$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0(1) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F)\middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(\mathfrak{d}^{-1}), c \in M_m(\mathfrak{d})\right\}$$

and

(2.3)
$$\Gamma_0(4) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F)\middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(\mathfrak{d}^{-1}), c \in M_m(4\mathfrak{d})\right\}.$$

In general, for any two fractional ideals $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ of F such that $\mathfrak{bc} \subset \mathfrak{o}$, we put

$$\Gamma[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}] = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F)\middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(\mathfrak{b}), c \in M_m(\mathfrak{c})\right\}.$$

The following lemma is a well-known fact.

Lemma 2.1. *The compact open subgroup* $\Gamma[\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}]$ *defined above is generated by the elements* $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} I_m & B \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ C & I_m \end{pmatrix}$ *where* $A \in GL_m(\mathfrak{o})$, $B \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{b})$ *and* $C \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{c})$.

Let $\Phi_0 \in \mathbb{S}(F^m)$ be the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{o}^m$. Using Lemma 2.1, after some calculation, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *The restriction of* ω_ψ *to* $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}$ *defines a genuine character* $\varepsilon : \widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ *by*

$$\omega_\psi(\gamma)\Phi_0 = \varepsilon(\gamma)^{-1}\Phi_0 \quad (\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}).$$

Let e be the order of 2, that is, be the non-negative integer such that $|2| = q^{-e}$. For $0 \le 1 \le e$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^{(i)} &= \mathbb{S}((\mathfrak{p}^{-e})^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{-i})^m) \\ &= \{f \in \mathbb{S}(F^m) \,\Big|\, Supp(f) \subset (\mathfrak{p}^{-e})^m, f(X+Y) = f(X) \text{ for any } Y \in (\mathfrak{p}^{-i})^m\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma^{(i)} = \Gamma[\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2i}, \boldsymbol{\delta}\mathfrak{o}].$$

Hence we have $\Gamma^{(0)} = \Gamma_0(1)$. For $0 \le i \le e$ and any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m$, we set $\Phi_\lambda^{(i)} \in \mathbb{S}(F^m)$ to be the characteristic function of $\lambda/2 + (\mathfrak{p}^{-i})^m$. Then

$$\mathbb{S}^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m} \mathbb{C} \cdot \Phi_\lambda^{(i)}.$$

So $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}^{(i)} = q^{m(e-i)}$. It is worth mentioning that the Fourier transformation of $\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$ is

$$\widehat{\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}}(X) = |\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{-2i}|^{m/2}\psi\left(\frac{{}^tX\lambda}{2}\right)\Phi_0(\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{-i}X). \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 2.1. *We restrict the Weil representation* ω_ψ *to* $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(i)}}$ *and denote this restricted representation by* $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}$. *Then* $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$ *is invariant with respect to* $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}$.

Proof. Fix a vector $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m$. From Lemma 2.1, to show the invariance of $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$, it suffices to show that $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{m}(A))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$, $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}\varpi^{2i}B))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$ and $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}C))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$ all lie in $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$ for any $A \in GL_m(\mathfrak{o})$ and any $B, C \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$. The $\mathbf{m}(A)$ case is trivial. The $\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}\varpi^{2i}B)$ case is also trivial, but it is worth mentioning that

$$\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}\varpi^{2i}B))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)} = \psi\left(\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^t\lambda B\lambda}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Now we consider $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}C))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$. Apparently, to get

$$\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}C))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)} \in \mathbb{S}^{(i)},$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(-C/\boldsymbol{\delta}))\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\Phi_\lambda^{(i)} \in \omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\mathbb{S}^{(i)}.$$

By the definition of the Weil representation, for any Schwartz function Φ in $\mathbb{S}(F^m)$, the function $\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\Phi(X)$ is a nonzero constant times of the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi}(-2X/\boldsymbol{\delta})$. But the dual lattices in F^m associated to $(\mathfrak{p}^{-e})^m$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^{-i})^m$ with respect to $(X,Y)\mapsto\psi(-2^tXY/\boldsymbol{\delta})$ are $\mathfrak{o}^m$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^{i-e})^m$, respectively. Thus

$$\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\mathbb{S}^{(i)} = \mathbb{S}((\mathfrak{p}^{i-e})^m/\mathfrak{o}^m)$$

$$=\{f\in\mathbb{S}(F^m)\ \Big|\ Supp(f)\subset(\mathfrak{p}^{i-e})^m, f(X+Y)=f(X) \text{ for any } Y\in\mathfrak{o}^m\}$$

(This also can be gotten from direct calculations). But apparently $\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(-C/\boldsymbol{\delta}))$ leaves this space fixed. So we get that $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}C))\Phi_\lambda$ is in $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$. Here ends the proof for the invariance. □

By this proposition or calculating directly, we get an analogue of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *The representation $\Omega_\psi^{(e)}$ defines a genuine character $\check{\varepsilon}$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}$ by*

$$\Omega_\psi^{(e)}(\gamma)\Phi_0^{(e)} = \check{\varepsilon}(\gamma)^{-1}\Phi_0^{(e)} \quad (\gamma\in\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}).$$

Since $\omega_\psi(\mathbf{m}(2I_m))\Phi_0 = \alpha_\psi(1)\overline{\alpha_\psi(2^m)}2^{m/2}\Phi_0^{(e)}$ and $\mathbf{m}(2I_m)^{-1}\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}\mathbf{m}(2I_m) = \widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}$, we have the following relation between ε and $\check{\varepsilon}$:

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{m}(2I_m)^{-1}\gamma\mathbf{m}(2I_m)) = \check{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \quad (\gamma\in\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}). \tag{2.6}$$

The formula of the action of $\mathbf{u}^\flat$ on $\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}$ is useful in our paper.

Lemma 2.4. *If $\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m$ and $S\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$, we have the following formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{S}))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}\\
=&\epsilon_S\sum_{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m}\int_{\mathfrak{o}^m}\psi\left(\varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^tYSY}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\mu}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)dY\Phi_\mu^{(i)}\\
=&\epsilon_S q^{m(i-e)}\sum_{\mu,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m}\psi\left(\varpi^i\frac{{}^t\nu\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^i\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)\Phi_\mu^{(i)}
\end{aligned}$$

where is ϵ_S is a fourth root of 1 depending only on S.

Proof. This can be deduced by direct calculation. Actually, by the definition of the Weil representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S))\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}(X)\\
=&\epsilon_S|4\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{m/2}\int_{F^m}\widehat{\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}}(-2Y)\psi(-\boldsymbol{\delta}\cdot{}^tYSY+2\cdot{}^tYX)dY\\
=&\epsilon_S|2\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{-i}|^m\int_{F^m}\Phi_0(-2\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{-i}Y)\psi(-{}^tY\lambda-\boldsymbol{\delta}\cdot{}^tYSY+2\cdot{}^tYX)dY\\
=&\epsilon_S\int_{\mathfrak{o}^m}\psi\left(\varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^tYSY}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^i\frac{{}^tYX}{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)dY\\
=&\epsilon_S\sum_{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m}\int_{\mathfrak{o}^m}\psi\left(\varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^tYSY}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\mu}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)dY\Phi_\mu^{(i)}(X)\\
=&\epsilon_Sq^{m(i-e)}\sum_{\mu,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{o}^{e-i})^m}\psi\left(\varpi^i\frac{{}^t\nu\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\varpi^i\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right)\Phi_\mu^{(i)}(X)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon_S=\frac{\alpha_\psi(1)^{1-2m}}{\alpha_\psi((-1)^m)}\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(-I_m))\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{-I_m}\mathbf{u}^\sharp(-\boldsymbol{\delta}S)\mathbf{w}_{I_m})$$

is a fourth root of 1 by the properties of the Weil constant. Note that we used Proposition 2.1 in the fourth equation and the fact that the formulas does not depend on the choices of μ and ν in the fourth and fifth equations. □

Proposition 2.2. *Given $0\le i\le e$, with the same notations in Proposition 2.1, we consider $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}$ as a representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(i)}}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$. Then $\Omega_\psi^{(i)}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Obviously, as λ running over elements in $\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m$, the functions $\psi(\varpi^{2i}\cdot{}^t\lambda D\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))$ of $D\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$ give $q^{m(e-i)}$ distinct characters of $\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$. So by equation (2.5) and the linear independence of distinct characters, we have that if $\mathbb{S}'$ is an invariant subspace of $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$, then $\mathbb{S}'$ must take the form of

$$\mathbb{S}'=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in S}\mathbb{C}\cdot\Phi_\lambda$$

where S is a subset of $\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{e-i})^m$. To get $\mathbb{S}'=\mathbb{S}^{(i)}$, it suffices to show that for a fixed $\lambda\in S$ and an arbitrarily chosen $\kappa\in\mathfrak{o}^m$, there exists some $D\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$ such that

$$\left(\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}D)\Phi_\lambda^{(i)},\Phi_\kappa^{(i)}\right)\neq 0.$$

Fix one κ. Say there are exactly l components of λ which are not congruent to the corresponding one of κ modulo $\mathfrak{p}^{e-i}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda_j \not\equiv \kappa_j \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{e-i}}$ exactly for $1 \leq j \leq l$. If we take a diagonal matrix $D' \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o})$ with diagonal entries $d_1, \ldots, d_l \in \mathfrak{o}\backslash\{0\}$ and $d_{l+1} = \cdots = d_m = 0$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\Omega_\psi^{(i)}(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}D')\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}, \Phi_\kappa^{(i)}\right) \\
=&\epsilon_{D'} \int_{\mathfrak{o}^m} \psi\left(\varpi^i \frac{{}^tY\lambda}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \varpi^{2i}\frac{{}^tYD'Y}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \varpi^i\frac{{}^tY\kappa}{2\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right) dY \\
=&\epsilon_{D'} \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \psi\left(-\frac{d_j}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\varpi^i y - \frac{\lambda_j - \kappa_j}{d_j})^2\right) dy \cdot \psi\left(\frac{(\lambda_j-\kappa_j)^2}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}d_j}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_j - \kappa_j \in \mathfrak{o}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$, for our purpose, we only need to show that for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{o}$, there exists some $d \in \mathfrak{o}\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \psi\left(-\frac{d}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\varpi^i y - \frac{\tau}{d})^2\right) dy \neq 0.$$

Consider the case $d = \tau^2 u$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Then it is reduced to show that there exists some unit u such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \psi\left(-\frac{\tau^2 u}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\varpi^i y - \frac{1}{\tau u})^2\right) dy \\
=&\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \psi\left(-\frac{\varpi^{2i}\tau^2}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}u}(uy - \frac{1}{\varpi^i\tau})^2\right) dy \\
=&\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \psi\left(-\frac{\varpi^{2i}\tau^2}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}u}(y - \frac{1}{\varpi^i\tau})^2\right) dy
\end{aligned}$$

is non-zero. This simply follows from (2) of Lemma 2.10 in [4], so we get what we want to show. □

3. A key lemma

We use the same notations as in Section 2 and continue the assumption that F is non-archimedean. Let $\Omega_\psi = \Omega_\psi^{(0)}$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(1)$. The next lemma is essential in proving our main theorems.

Lemma 3.1. *Let π be a genuine representation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ on a vector space V. If there are q^{em} vectors in V, which are denoted by h_κ for $\kappa \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m$, such that π has the properties that*

$$\pi(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(B/\boldsymbol{\delta}))h_\kappa = \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\kappa B\kappa}{4\boldsymbol{\delta}}\right) h_\kappa$$

for any $B \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathfrak{o}), \kappa \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m$ *and*

$$\pi(\gamma)\left(\sum_{\kappa\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m} h_\kappa\right) = \breve{\varepsilon}(\gamma)^{-1}\left(\sum_{\kappa\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m} h_\kappa\right)$$

for any $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}$, *then* $(\pi|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}, \oplus_\kappa \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\kappa)$ *forms a representation of* $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ *equivalent to the Weil representation* Ω_ψ *and* $h_\kappa \mapsto \Phi_\kappa$ *gives an intertwining map for it.*

Proof. We use the induction to prove this lemma. The spirit of the proof of Theorem 1 in [3] will be applied. For $0 \le i \le e$ and $\kappa \in \mathfrak{o}^m$, put

$$h_\kappa^{(e-i)} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\equiv\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m \\ \lambda \bmod (2\mathfrak{o})^m}} h_\lambda.$$

This definition only depends on $\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m$. In particular, $h_\kappa^{(0)} = h_\kappa$ and $h_\kappa^{(e)}$ is the sum of all h_λ for arbitrary κ. By the assumption of the lemma, we already have that $\oplus_\kappa \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\kappa^{(e)} = \mathbb{C}\cdot(\sum_\lambda h_\lambda)$ is invariant under $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e)}}$ and gives an representation equivalent to $\Omega_\psi^{(e)}$ under the map $h_\kappa^{(e)} \mapsto \Phi_\kappa^{(e)}$. Now fix $0 \le i \le e-1$ and assume that $\oplus_\kappa \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\kappa^{(e-i)}$ gives an representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e-i)}}$ equivalent to $\Omega_\psi^{(e-i)}$ and $h_\kappa^{(e-i)} \mapsto \Phi_\kappa^{(e-i)}$ forms an intertwining map. We want to show that under this condition, the similar statement also holds for $\oplus_\kappa \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\kappa^{(e-i-1)}$. Fix one $\kappa \in \mathfrak{o}^m$. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4 and the assumption of the presenting lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S))h_\kappa^{(e-i-1)} \\ =&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)} \sum_{\mu,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m} \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}\right) h_\mu^{(e-i-1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let Δ be the subgroup $Sym_m(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{2i+1})$ consisting of all the diagonal matrices. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m$ and $D \in \Delta$, one has

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\right) h_\lambda^{(e-i)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau\equiv\lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m \\ \tau \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}} \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\tau D\tau}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right) h_\tau^{(e-i-1)}.$$

(Note that the formula above does not depend on the choice of D modulo $\mathfrak{p}^{2i+1}$, so the action is well-defined.) For arbitrary $\tau \equiv \lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m$,

by Schur orthogonality relation for finite groups and the restriction of i, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{D\in\Delta}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\tau D\tau}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)=\begin{cases}q^{m(2i+1)} & \text{if } \tau\equiv\lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Hence we get

(3.2)

$$h_\kappa^{(e-i-1)}=q^{-m(2i+1)}\sum_{D\in\Delta}\psi\left(-\frac{{}^t\kappa D\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\pi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\right)h_\kappa^{(e-i)}.$$

Thus

(3.3)

$$\pi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S))h_\kappa^{(e-i-1)}=q^{-m(2i+1)}\sum_{D\in\Delta}\psi\left(-\frac{{}^t\kappa D\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\pi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_D\right)h_\kappa^{(e-i)}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_D=\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(-\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S)\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)$$

lies in $\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e-i)}}$. If we denote the diagonal entries of D by $d_1,\dots,d_m$ and let $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ act on $\Phi_\kappa^{(e-i)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_\psi^{(e-i)}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_D)\Phi_\kappa^{(e-i)}\\
=&\omega_\psi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(-\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S)\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\right)\Phi_\kappa^{(e-i)}\\
=&\sum_{\substack{\lambda\equiv\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\omega_\psi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(-\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S)\right)\Phi_\lambda^{(e-i-1)}\\
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\equiv\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m\\ \mu,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}\right)\\
&\times\omega_\psi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(-\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\right)\Phi_\mu^{(e-i-1)}\\
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\equiv\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m\\ \mu,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\mu D\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\\
&\times\Phi_\mu^{(e-i-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here for any $\nu \in \mathfrak{o}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{\lambda\equiv\kappa \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \lambda \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}} \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}\right)\\ =&\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\kappa D\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}\right)\sum_{\beta\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\beta D\beta}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi}+\frac{{}^t\nu\beta}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\beta \to \psi\left(({}^t\beta D\beta + {}^t\upsilon\beta)/(\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi)\right)$ forms a character of $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m$. We write $\nu \sim D$ if the character is trivial. Them the equations above become

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_\psi^{(e-i)}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_D)\Phi_\kappa^{(e-i)}\\ =&\epsilon_S q^{-mi}\sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m\\ \nu\sim D\\ \nu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\kappa D\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\mu D\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\\ &\times\Phi_\mu^{(e-i-1)}\\ =&\epsilon_S q^{-mi}\sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i})^m\\ \nu\sim D\\ \nu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\kappa D\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\mu D\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\\ &\times\Phi_\mu^{(e-i)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now applying this formula and the assumption of the induction back to equation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S))h_\kappa^{(e-i-1)}\\ =&\epsilon_S q^{-m(3i+1)}\sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i})^m\\ D\in\Delta}}\sum_{\substack{\nu\sim D\\ \nu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\\ &\times\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\mu D\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\pi\left(\mathbf{u}^\sharp\left(\frac{4D}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)\right)h_\mu^{(e-i)}\\ =&\epsilon_S q^{-m(3i+1)}\sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i})^m\\ D\in\Delta}}\sum_{\substack{\nu\sim D\\ \nu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\sum_{\substack{\tau\equiv\mu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i})^m\\ \tau \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}}\\ &\times\psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}-\frac{{}^t\mu D\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}+\frac{{}^t\tau D\tau}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2i+1}}\right)h_\tau^{(e-i-1)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(3i+1)} \sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ D\in\Delta}} \sum_{\substack{\nu\sim D\\ \nu \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m}} \sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m} \\
&\times \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} + \frac{{}^t\lambda D\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi}\right) h^{(e-i-1)}_{\mu+\varpi^i\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When D runs over all the elements in Δ, one easily check that $\psi({}^t\lambda D\lambda/(\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi))$ give exactly all the q^m distinct characters of $\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m$ for q^{2i} times. Hence we can associate one $\eta\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m$ to every $D\in\Delta$ such that $\psi({}^t\lambda D\lambda/(\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi)) = \psi({}^t\lambda\eta/(\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi))$ for any $\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m$. In this case, $\nu\sim D$ means that $-\nu\equiv\eta \bmod (\mathfrak{p}^1)^m$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi(\mathbf{u}^\flat(\boldsymbol{\delta}S))h^{(e-i-1)}_\kappa \\
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)} \sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \eta,\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m}} \sum_{\theta\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^i)^m} \\
&\times \psi\left(\frac{{}^t(-\eta+\varpi\theta)\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t(-\eta+\varpi\theta)S(-\eta+\varpi\theta)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{{}^t(-\eta+\varpi\theta)\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} + \frac{{}^t\lambda\eta}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi}\right) h^{(e-i-1)}_{\mu+\varpi^i\lambda} \\
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)} \sum_{\substack{\mu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^i)^m\\ \lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^1)^m}} \sum_{\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m} \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{{}^t\nu\mu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t\lambda\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi}\right) h^{(e-i-1)}_{\mu+\varpi^i\lambda} \\
=&\epsilon_S q^{-m(i+1)} \sum_{\tau,\nu\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})^m} \psi\left(\frac{{}^t\nu\kappa}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}} - \frac{{}^t\nu S\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{2(i+1)}} - \frac{{}^t\nu\tau}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\varpi^{i+1}}\right) h^{(e-i-1)}_\tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equation (3.1). Thus it follows that $h^{(e-i-1)}_\lambda \mapsto \Phi^{(e-i-1)}_\psi$ gives an intertwining map between $(\pi|_{\widetilde{\Gamma^{(e-i-1)}}}, \oplus_\lambda\mathbb{C}\cdot h^{(e-i-1)}_\lambda)$ and $(\Omega^{(e-i-1)}_\psi, \mathbb{S}^{(e-i-1)})$. By the induction, what we wanted to show is proved. □

4. The idempotents e^K and E^K

In this section F is set to be non-archimedean and the same notations in Section 2 will be used. Let ε be the character of $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}$ given by Lemma 2.2.

Definition 4.1. *The Hecke algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}/\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)};\varepsilon)$ is the space consisting of all compactly supported genuine function ϑ on $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ such $\vartheta(\gamma_1 g\gamma_2) = \varepsilon(\gamma_1\gamma_2)\vartheta(g)$ for any γ_1 and γ_2 in $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}$. The multiplication among $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by*

$$
(\vartheta_1 * \vartheta_2)(g) = \int_{\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}/\{\pm1\}} \vartheta_1(gh^{-1})\vartheta_2(h)dh.
$$

Here the Haar measure dh on $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ *is normalized so that the volume of* $\widetilde{\Gamma}/\{\pm 1\}$ *is* 1.

Now we introduce two matrix coefficients e^K and E^K on $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(1)}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}\widetilde{\Gamma_0(1)}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1}$ which are actually idempotents in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. They play important roles in our paper.

Recall that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(1)$.

Definition 4.2. *The genuine function* e^K *on* $\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$ *is defined by*

$$e^K(\tilde{g}) = \begin{cases} q^{me}(\Phi_0, \omega_\psi(\tilde{g})\Phi_0) & \text{if } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, we put $E^K(\tilde{g}) = e^K(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1}\tilde{g}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m})$ *for any* $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{Sp_m(F)}$.

The support of e^K is contained in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and the support of E^K is contained in

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_m(F) \middle| a, c \in M_m(\mathfrak{o}), b \in M_m(4^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}), c \in M_m(4\mathfrak{d}) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^K(\tilde{g}) =& e^K(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1}\tilde{g}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}) \\ =& q^{me}(\Phi_0, \omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m}^{-1}\tilde{g}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m})\Phi) \\ =& q^{me}(\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m})\Phi_0, \omega_\psi(\tilde{g}\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m})\Phi) \\ =& q^{me}(\Phi_0, \omega_\psi(\tilde{g})\Phi_0) \end{aligned}$$

by the unitarity of ω_ψ and the equation

$$\omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m})\Phi_0 = \frac{\alpha_\psi(1)^{1-m}}{\alpha_\psi((2\boldsymbol{\delta})^m)}\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(2\boldsymbol{\delta} I_m))\Phi_0.$$

Thus we see that both e^K and E^K are in $\widetilde{H}$. The idempotence for e^K and E^K easily follow from Schur's orthogonality relation. Obviously, we have $e^K, E^K \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

5. The archimedean case

We let $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi(x) = \mathbf{e}(x)$. The Weil constant $\alpha_\psi(x)$ is given by

$$\alpha_\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/4) & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}/4) & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

The real metaplectic group $\widetilde{Sp_m(R)}$ is the unique non-trivial topological double covering of $\widetilde{Sp_m(R)}$ with the multiplication defined in Section 2. It is known that there exists a unique factor of automorphy $\tilde{j} : \widetilde{Sp_m(R)} \times \mathfrak{h}_m \to \mathbb{C}$ for half-integral weight such that

$$\tilde{j}\left(\left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \xi\right], \tau\right)^2 = \det(c\tau + d).$$

6. Automorphic forms on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$

In this section, we let F be a totally real field with degree $n > 1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The notations $\mathfrak{o}$, $\mathfrak{d}$ and the $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ stand for the integer ring, the different and the adele ring of F, respectively. We want to take a brief look at the definition of the automorphic forms on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$.

As in Section 1, the n real embeddings of F are denoted by $\infty_1, \ldots, \infty_n$. We let $\psi_1 = \prod_{v \leq \infty} \psi_{1,v}$ be the non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{A}/F$ such that $\psi_{1,\infty_j}(x) = \mathbf{e}(x)$ for all real places ∞_j. So for any finite place v, the index of $\psi_{1,v}$, which we denote by $c_{1,v}$, is the exponent of the corresponding prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_v$ in the prime decomposition of $\mathfrak{d}$. For the sake of simplicity, from now, when the local case with respect to some place v is being considered, we use the same notations given in Section 2 with a lower subscript v.

We should give the definition of the global metaplectic group $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$. If v is a finite place of F which is not even, there is a canonical splitting over Γ_v in $\widetilde{\Gamma_v}$ where Γ_v is defined by (1.1). The image of the canonical splitting is also denoted by Γ_v. It is the stabilizer for $\Phi_{0,v}$ for almost all v. The global metaplectic covering of $Sp_m(\mathbb{A})$, which we denote by $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$, is the restricted direct product of $\widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)}$ with respect to $\{\Gamma_v\}$ divided by $\{(\xi_v) \in \prod_v \{\pm 1\} \mid \prod_v \xi_v = 1\}$. Then the Weil representation ω_{ψ_1} of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$ on the Schwartz space $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m)$ is well-defined. The group $Sp_m(F)$ can be embedded canonically into $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$, so we consider $Sp_m(F)$ as a subgroup of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$ through this embedding. As in the local case, for any $B \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathbb{A})$ and $A \in GL_m(\mathbb{A})$, we let

$$\mathbf{u}^\sharp(B) = (\mathbf{u}^\sharp(S_v))_v, \qquad \mathbf{u}^\flat(B) = (\mathbf{u}^\flat(S_v))_v,$$
$$\mathbf{m}(A) = (\mathbf{m}(A_v))_v, \qquad \mathbf{w}_A = (\mathbf{w}_{A_v})_v.$$

If S is a subset of $Sp_m(\mathbb{A})$, we let $\widetilde{S}$ denote its inverse image in $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$.

Let the group $\{\pm \mathbf{1}\}$ of order 2 be the kernel of the canonical mapping $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})} \to Sp_m(\mathbb{A})$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity element in $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$. A

function f on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$ is called a genuine function if $f(-\mathbf{1}\cdot\mathbf{g})=-f(\mathbf{g})$ for any $\mathbf{g}\in\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$. Let $(f_v)_{v\leq\infty}$ be a family of local genuine functions. If $f_v(\mathbf{g}_v)=1$ for $g_v\in\Gamma_v$ for almost all finite non-even places v, then the product $\prod_{v\leq\infty}f_v$ defined by $(\prod_{v\leq\infty}f_v)(\mathbf{g})=\prod_{v\leq\infty}f_v(\mathbf{g}_v)$ for if $\mathbf{g}=\prod_v\mathbf{g}_v$ $(\mathbf{g}_v\in\widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)})$ gives a genuine function on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$. Note that the decomposition $\mathbf{g}=\prod_v\mathbf{g}_v$ for $\mathbf{g}\in\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$ is not unique. But the function $\prod_v f_v$ is still well-defined.

Let Γ'_f be a compact open subgroup subgroup of $Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and $\varepsilon'=\prod_{v<\infty}\varepsilon'_v:\widetilde{\Gamma'_f}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ be a genuine character. Fix an n-tuple $k=(k_i)_{i=1}^n\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{>1}$ of integers greater than 1 and put $\Gamma'=Sp_m(F)\cap(\Gamma'_f\times Sp_m(\mathbb{R})^n)$. We define a factor of automorphy $j_{\varepsilon'}^{k+1/2}(\gamma,z)$ for $\gamma\in\Gamma'$ and $z\in\mathfrak{h}_m^n$ by

$$j_{\varepsilon'}^{k+1/2}(\gamma,z)=\prod_{v<\infty}\varepsilon'_v([\gamma_v,1])\prod_{i=1}^n\tilde{j}([\iota_i(\gamma),1],z_i)^{2k_i+1}. \tag{6.1}$$

With this factor of automorphy, we denote $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma',\varepsilon')$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma',\varepsilon')$ the spaces of Hilbert-Siegel modular forms and cusp forms for Γ' of weight $k+1/2$ with respect to the factor of automorphy $j_{\varepsilon'}^{k+1/2}(\gamma,z)$. Thus if $h\in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma',\varepsilon')$, we have

$$h(\gamma(z))=j_{\varepsilon'}^{k+1/2}(\gamma,z)h(z)$$

for any $\gamma\in\Gamma'$ and $z\in\mathfrak{h}_m^n$. We can associate h to an automorphic form on $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$ which is genuine and left-invariant with respect to $Sp_m(F)$. For any $\boldsymbol{g}\in\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$, by the strong approximation theorem, there exist $\gamma\in Sp_m(F)$, $g_\infty\in\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{R})}^n$ and $g_f\in\widetilde{\Gamma'_f}$ such that $\boldsymbol{g}=\gamma g_\infty g_h$. Then we put

$$\varphi_h(\boldsymbol{g})=h(g_\infty(\boldsymbol{i}))\varepsilon'(g_f)^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^n\tilde{j}(g_{\infty_i},\boldsymbol{i})^{-2k_i-1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{i}=\sqrt{-1}(I_m,\ldots,I_m)\in\mathfrak{h}_m^n$. It is easy to see that φ_h is well-defined and thus forms a genuine automorphic form on $Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$.

Using the notations above, we put

$$\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})};\widetilde{\Gamma'_f},\varepsilon')=\{\varphi_h\,|\,h\in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma',\varepsilon')\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})};\widetilde{\Gamma'_f},\varepsilon')=\{\varphi_h\,|\,h\in S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma',\varepsilon')\}.$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}; \widetilde{\Gamma'_f}, \varepsilon')$. For $z \in \mathfrak{h}_m^n$, we can take some $g_\infty \in \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{R})}^n$ such that $g_\infty(\boldsymbol{i}) = z$. If we set

$$h_\varphi(z) = \varphi(g_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{j}(g_{\infty_i}, \boldsymbol{i})^{2k_i+1},$$

then $h_\varphi \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$ and $h_{\varphi_{h'}} = h'$ for all $h' \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$. Hence we get an one-to-one correspondence between the two spaces $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$ and $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}; \widetilde{\Gamma'_f}, \varepsilon')$.

We let

$$\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}) = \bigcup_{(\Gamma'_f, \varepsilon)} \mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}; \widetilde{\Gamma'_f}, \varepsilon')$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}) = \bigcup_{(\Gamma'_f, \varepsilon)} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}; \widetilde{\Gamma'_f}, \varepsilon')$$

where in the unions (Γ'_f, ε) runs over all pairs of compact open subgroups Γ'_f of $Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and genuine characters ε' of Γ'_f. The group $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ act on $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})$ by the right translation ρ. These give corresponding actions of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ on $\bigcup_{(\Gamma'_f, \varepsilon)} M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$ and $\bigcup_{(\Gamma'_f, \varepsilon)} S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$, which we still denote by ρ. Take a function h in some $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma', \varepsilon')$ with Fourier expansion $h(z) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c(T)\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz)))$. Then for any $S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F_v)$ where v is a finite place of F, one can check that

$$\rho(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(S))h(z) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c(T)\psi_{1,v}(\mathrm{tr}(TS))\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz))) \tag{6.2}$$

Also, for any $A \in GL_m(F)$ with finite part A_f and totally positive determinant $\det(A) \in F$, one can check that

$$\rho(\mathbf{m}(A_f))h(z) = \det(A)^{-k-1/2} h(A^{-1}z \cdot {}^tA^{-1}) \tag{6.3}$$

where $\det(A)^{-k-1/2} = \prod_j \det(A_{\infty_j})^{-k_j-1/2}$.

Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ be an unit such that $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta)^m = (-1)^{m\sum_j k_j}$ and put $\psi(x) = \psi_1(\eta x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{A}$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a genuine character ε_v of $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)_v}$ constructed from the Weil representation ω_{ψ_v} for any finite place v of F. Put $\varepsilon = \prod_{v<\infty} \varepsilon_v$, which is a character of $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)}_f = \prod'_{v<\infty} \widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)_v}$. We can get a factor of automorphy $j_\varepsilon^{k+1/2}$ of half-integral weight from ε and $\Gamma_0(4)_f$ by (6.1). Note that $j_\varepsilon^{k+1/2}$

depends on the choice of $\eta \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and if η does not satisfy the condition $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta)^m = (-1)^{m\sum_j k_j}$, then one can check that $j_\varepsilon^{k+1/2}(\mathbf{m}(-I_m), z)$ is identically -1 so $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4), \varepsilon)$ turns out to be the zero space. If the components k_j of k are all congruent to each others modulo 2 and $\eta = (-1)^{k_j}$ with an arbitrarily chosen k_j, it is known that the corresponding $j^{k+1/2}$ is the same with $J^{k+1/2}$ defined in (1.5). From now on, we consider the general case given in this paragraph. We rewrite $j_\varepsilon^{k+1/2}$ by $J^{k+1/2}$ and put $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) = M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)_f, \varepsilon)$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) = S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)_f, \varepsilon)$.

For any finite place v of F, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_v} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_v}(\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)_v} \backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(F_v)} / \widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)_v}; \varepsilon_v)$ denote the Hecke algebra with respect to v as in Definition 4.1. Put $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \otimes'_{v<\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_v}$ to be the restricted product of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_v}$ with respect to $\{\varepsilon_v\}_{v<\infty}$ where we set $\varepsilon_v = 0$ outside $\widetilde{\Gamma_0(4)_v}$. The Hecke algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})$ by

$$\rho(\vartheta)\varphi(g) = \int_{\widetilde{Sp_m(F)}/\{\pm 1\}} \vartheta(h)\varphi(gh)dh$$

for $\vartheta \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(S_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})$.

Next, we let e_v^K and E_v^K in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_v}$ be the ones defined in Definition 4.2 for all finite place v. Then we have that both $e^K = \prod_{v<\infty} e_v^K$ and $E^K = \prod_{v<\infty} E_v^K$ lie in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K}$ be the subspaces fixed by E^K, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}) \mid \rho(E^K)\varphi = \varphi\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}) \mid \rho(E^K)\varphi = \varphi\}.$$

Also, we give the corresponding fixed subspaces in $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.

Definition 6.1. *The subspaces of $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})^{E^K}$, respectively, are denoted by $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$.*

We will give the definition of the plus spaces and show that they are exactly the E^K-fixed spaces in the last definition in the next section.

7. The Kohnen plus space

In this section we define of the plus spaces for the Hilbert-Siegel modular forms of half-integral weight and give our first main theorem. The use of the notations in the last section will be continued.

Definition 7.1. *For any symmetric matrix $T \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$, we denote $T \equiv \square$ mod 4 if there exists some vector $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m$ such that $T - \lambda \cdot {}^t\lambda \in 4L_m^*$ where $L_m^* \subset \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$ consists of all $m \times m$ half-integral matrices in $\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$.*

If $T \equiv \square \bmod 4$, then apparently $T \in L_m^*$ and the corresponding $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m$ is uniquely determined modulo $2\mathfrak{o}^m$.

For any modular form $h \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$, it can be written in the Fourier expansion

$$h(z) = \sum_{\substack{T \in L_m^* \\ T>0}} c(T)\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz))).$$

Here $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ and tr are the traces of $F/\mathbb{Q}$ and matrices, respectively, and $T > 0$ means that the image of T under every real embedding in $M_m(\mathbb{R})$ is positive semi-definite. This follows from Köcher's principle.

From now on, for simplicity, when the variable $z \in \mathfrak{h}_m^n$ is being considered, we let $q^T = \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{tr}(Tz)))$.

Definition 7.2. *The Kohnen plus space $M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ are defined by*

$$M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$$
$$= \left\{ h(z) = \sum_T c(T)q^T \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \,\middle|\, c(T) = 0 \text{ unless } \eta^{-1}T \equiv \square \bmod 4 \right\}$$

and

$$S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) = M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \cap S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

We shall show that the Kohnen plus spaces are actually the spaces fixed by E^K. The following proposition and its proof are analogues of Proposition 13.4 and its proof in [4], respectively.

Proposition 7.1. *We have $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K} \subset M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.*

Proof. Put $\hat{\mathfrak{o}} = \prod_{v<\infty} \mathfrak{o}_v$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{d}} = \mathfrak{d}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}$. For each $v < \infty$, we pick a certain fixed generator $\boldsymbol{\delta}_v \in \mathfrak{o}_v$ of $\mathfrak{d}_v$. Then $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\boldsymbol{\delta}_v)_{v<\infty} \in \hat{\mathfrak{d}}$. The quotient group $2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $2^{-1}\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{o}$ by Chinese remainder theorem. Let $\Gamma_f = \prod_{v<\infty} \Gamma_v$ and $\mathbb{S}(2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on $2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m$. By Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, the

space $\mathbb{S}(2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m)$ gives an irreducible space for $\widetilde{\Gamma_f}$ through the Weil representation $\Omega_\psi = \otimes_{v<\infty}\Omega_{\psi_v}$. For $\lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/(2\hat{\mathfrak{o}})^m$, denote the characteristic function of $\lambda/2+\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m$ by Φ_λ. The set of all such functions forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{S}(2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m)$, which has the properties

$$\Omega_\psi(e^K)\Phi_0 = \Phi_0, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\Omega_\psi(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}S))\Phi_\lambda = \psi({}^t\lambda S\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))\Phi_\lambda \quad \text{for } S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\hat{\mathfrak{o}}), \tag{7.2}$$

$$\Omega_\psi(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\Phi_0 = 2^{-mn/2}\zeta_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m} \Phi_\lambda \tag{7.3}$$

where $\zeta_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \prod_{v<\infty}\alpha_{\psi_v}(1)^{1-m}\overline{\alpha_{\psi_v}(\boldsymbol{\delta}_v^m)}\epsilon_v(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m))$ is a fourth root of 1 depending only on $\boldsymbol{\delta}$. Now take some $h \in M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$. We set

$$h_0 = 2^{m\sum_j k_j}\zeta_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\cdot\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m}\mathbf{w}_{-2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{w}_{-2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})h$$

where we set $\epsilon([g,\zeta]) = \zeta$ for any $[g,\zeta] \in \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$. By the definition of E^K, we have $\rho(e^K)h_0 = h_0$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-space spanned by $\{\rho(g)h_0 \,|\, g \in \widetilde{\Gamma_f}\}$. Since both Φ_0 and h_0 are fixed by the matrix coefficient e^K, there exists some intertwining map $i : \mathbb{S}(2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m) \to \mathcal{V}$ such that $i(\Phi_0) = h_0$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$, denote $i(\Phi_\lambda)$ by h_λ. Then we have

$$\rho(e^K)h_0 = h_0, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}S))h_\lambda = \psi({}^t\lambda S\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))h_\lambda \quad \text{for } S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\hat{\mathfrak{o}}), \tag{7.5}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})h_0 = 2^{-mn/2}\zeta_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m} h_\lambda. \tag{7.6}$$

Let the Fourier expansion of h_λ be $\sum_{T\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c_\lambda(T)q^{T/4}$, then for any $S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\hat{\mathfrak{o}})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{T\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c_\lambda(T)\psi_1(\eta\cdot{}^t\lambda S\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))q^{T/4}\\
=&\psi({}^t\lambda S\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))\sum_{T\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c_\lambda(T)q^{T/4}\\
=&\rho(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}S))h_\lambda(z)\\
=&\sum_{T\in\mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} c_\lambda(T)\psi_1(\mathrm{tr}(TS)/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))q^{T/4}
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter equation is from (6.2). Thus we get that $c_\lambda(T)$ vanishes unless $\eta^{-1}T - \lambda\cdot{}^t\lambda \in 4L_m^*$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} h_\lambda(z)\\
=&2^{mn/2}\zeta_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})h_0(z)\\
=&2^{m\sum_j(k_j+1/2)}\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m}\mathbf{w}_{-2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m}\mathbf{w}_{-2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})h(z)\\
=&2^{m\sum_j(k_j+1/2)}\rho(\mathbf{m}(2_fI_m))h(z)\\
=&h(z/4)
\end{aligned}$$

by (6.3). It follows that

$$h(z) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)}\ \sum_{\eta^{-1}T-\lambda\cdot{}^t\lambda\in 4L_m^*} c_\lambda(T)q^T.$$

Hence we have $h \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$. □

The converse of this proposition is an analogue of Proposition 13.3 in [4]. We introduce a different but simpler way to prove it. Before the next proposition, let us put $\Gamma[4\mathfrak{d}^{-1},\mathfrak{d}]_f = \widetilde{\prod_{v<\infty}\Gamma[4\mathfrak{d}_v^{-1},\mathfrak{d}_v]}$ and $\check{\varepsilon} = \prod_{v<\infty}\check{\varepsilon_v}$ where $\check{\varepsilon_v}$ is the character of $\Gamma[4\mathfrak{d}_v^{-1},\mathfrak{d}_v]$ given in Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 7.2. *We have* $M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \subset M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$.

Proof. Fix one $h(z) = \sum_{\eta^{-1}T\equiv\square \bmod 4} c(T)q^T \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$. We can write h in the form $h(z) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} h_\lambda(4z)$ where

$$h_\lambda(z) = \sum_{\eta^{-1}T-{}^t\lambda\cdot\lambda\in 4L_m^*} c(T)q^{T/4}. \tag{7.7}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-space spanned by $\{\rho(g)h_\lambda \mid g \in \widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)\}$. So $\mathcal{V}$ forms an invariant space of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ by ρ. Note that

$$\rho(\mathbf{u}^\sharp(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-1}S))h_\lambda = \psi({}^t\lambda S\lambda/(4\boldsymbol{\delta}))h_\lambda$$

for any $S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\hat{\mathfrak{o}})$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$ by (6.2). Also, for any $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma[4\mathfrak{d}^{-1},\mathfrak{d}]_f}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(\boldsymbol{\gamma})\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} h_\lambda\\
=&2^{m\sum_j(k_j+1/2)}\rho(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\mathbf{m}(2_fI_m))h(z)\\
=&2^{m\sum_j(k_j+1/2)}\varepsilon(\mathbf{m}(2_fI_m)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\mathbf{m}(2_fI_m))^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{m}(2_fI_m))h(z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$=\breve{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})^{-1}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)}h_\lambda$$

by (2.6). Now Lemma 3.1 tells us that $(\rho|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}},\oplus_\lambda\mathbb{C}\cdot h_\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $(\Omega_\psi,\mathbb{S}(2^{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m))$ as representations of $\widetilde{\Gamma_f}$ under the intertwining map $h_\lambda\to\Phi_\lambda$. This gives us that $\rho(e^K)h_0=h_0$. Now since

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m}^{-1})h_0\\ =&\zeta'\rho(\mathbf{m}(2_f^{-1}I_m)\mathbf{w}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})h_0\\ =&2^{-mn/2}\zeta_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\zeta'\rho(\mathbf{m}(2_f^{-1}I_m))\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o})^m}h_\lambda\\ =&2^{\sum_j k_j}\zeta_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\zeta'\cdot h\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta'=\epsilon(\mathbf{w}_{2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m}\mathbf{w}_{-2\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})\epsilon(\mathbf{m}(2_f^{-1})\mathbf{w}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m})$$

and

$$\zeta_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}=\prod_{v<\infty}\alpha_{\psi_v}(1)^{1-m}\overline{\alpha_{\psi_v}((-\boldsymbol{\delta}_v)^m)}\epsilon_v(\mathbf{w}_{I_m}\mathbf{m}(-\boldsymbol{\delta}I_m)).$$

So we get that $\rho(E^K)h=h$ by the definition of E^K. □

Our first main theorem follows from the two propositions.

Theorem 7.1. *The idempotent Hecke operator E^K on $M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and $S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ is just the projection to the plus spaces. That is, we have*

$$M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))=M_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}$$

and

$$S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))=S_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))^{E^K}.$$

8. Relations to the Jacobi forms

In this section, we shall construct an isomorphism between the plus space and the space of Jacobi forms for certain restricted weights k. But before that, let us give a brief introduction of the Jacobi forms. For more detail, one can consult [5] and [1]. We use the same notations in the last section and assume $m\sum_j k_j\equiv mn \bmod 2$ and $\eta=-1$.

Let $G^J_{m+1}(F)$ be the subgroup of $Sp_{m+1}(F)$ consisting of all matrices whose first column is ${}^t(1,0,\dots,0)$. If we embed $Sp_m(F)$ to $Sp_{m+1}(F)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{pmatrix}\mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c|c}1&\\ &A\quad B\\ \hline &\begin{matrix}1&\\ C&\end{matrix}\quad\begin{matrix}&\\ &D\end{matrix}\end{array}\right)$$

and define the Heisenberg group $H_m(F)$ by

$$H_m(F)=\left\{(X,Y,\kappa)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & {}^tX & \kappa & {}^tY \\ & I_m & & Y \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & -X & I_m \end{array}\right)\in Sp_m(F)\,\middle|\, X,Y\in F^m,\kappa\in F\right\},$$

then it is easy to verify that $G^J_{m+1}(F)$ is the semi-direct product $Sp_m(F)\ltimes H_m(F)$. The action of $G^J_{m+1}(F)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^n_m\times(\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d\end{pmatrix}(X,Y,\kappa)(z,w)=((Az+B)(Cz+D)^{-1},{}^t(Cz+D)^{-1}(w+zX+Y))$$

and it is transitive. On the other hand, the adelic Heisenberg group $H_m(\mathbb{A})$ acts on the Schwartz space $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m)$ by the Schrödinger representation

$$\pi_S(X,Y,\kappa)f(T)=\psi_1(\kappa+{}^t(2T+X)Y)f(T+X)$$

where ψ_1 is the character on $\mathbb{A}/F$ defined in Section 6. Let $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})}=\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}\ltimes H_m(\mathbb{A})$ be the metaplectic double covering of the adelic Jacobi group $G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})$. The group $G^J_{m+1}(F)$ can be embedded into $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})}$. Combining the Schrödinger representation and the Weil representation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}$, one can get the Schrödinge-Weil representation π_{SW} of $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})}$ on $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m)$. Now for any $\Phi\in\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m)$, the theta function Θ_Φ associate to it is defined by

$$\Theta_\Phi(\mathbf{g})=\sum_{\xi\in F^m}(\pi_{SW}(\mathbf{g})\Phi)(\xi)$$

for any $\mathbf{g}\in\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})}$. Note that Θ_Φ is a function on $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})}$ left-invariant under $G^J_{m+1}(F)$. Now let us restrict us to the condition such that

$$\Phi=\Phi_f\Phi_\infty\in\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m)$$

where $\Phi_f\in\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}_f^m)$ and $\Phi_\infty(X_\infty)=\mathbf{e}(i\mathrm{Tr}({}^tX_\infty\cdot X_\infty))$ for any $X_\infty\in(\mathbb{R}^m)^n$. The space of all the theta functions constructed from such Φ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_\theta(G^J_{m+1}(F)\backslash\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})})$. The group $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_\theta(G^J_{m+1}(F)\backslash\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{A})})$ by the right translation ρ', which is obviously equivalent to the finite part of the Schrödinger-Weil representation π_{SW}. By applying the genuine factor of automorphy $\tilde{j}_\theta$ on $\widetilde{G^J_{m+1}(\mathbb{R})}^n\times(\mathfrak{h}^n_m\times(\mathbb{C}^m)^n)$ given by

$$\tilde{j}_\theta\left(\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D\end{pmatrix}\right](X,Y,\kappa),(z,w)\right)$$

$$=\mathbf{e}(\mathrm{Tr}({}^t w'(Cz+D)^{-1}Cw' - {}^tXzX - 2\cdot {}^tXw - {}^tXY - \kappa))$$
$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{j}\left(\left[\begin{pmatrix} A_{\infty_i} & B_{\infty_i} \\ C_{\infty_i} & D_{\infty_i}\end{pmatrix}\right], z_i\right)$$

where $w' = w + Xz + Y$ and $\tilde{j}$ is the factor of automorphy for half-integral weight given in Section 5, any theta function Φ can be associated to a function on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ in the similar way with Section 6, which is also called a theta function. A quick calculations shows that, if for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$, we put

$$f_\lambda = \Phi_\lambda \Phi_\infty \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}^m),$$

where Φ_λ is the characteristic function of $\lambda/2 + \hat{\mathfrak{o}}^m$ and Φ_∞ is as above, the theta function on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ associated to Θ_{f_λ} is

(8.1)
$$\theta_\lambda(z,w) = \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{o}^m} \mathbf{e}\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left({}^t(p+\frac{\lambda}{2})z(p+\frac{\lambda}{2}) + 2\cdot {}^t(p+\frac{\lambda}{2})w\right)\right).$$

The representation of $\widetilde{G_{m+1}^J(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ on the space of all the theta functions on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ induced from this association is also denoted by ρ'. Now consider the tensor product $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_\theta(G_{m+1}^J(F)\backslash\widetilde{G_{m+1}^J(\mathbb{A})})$. The representation $\rho\otimes\rho'$, where ρ is the right translation of $\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})$, forms a representation of $G_{m+1}^J(\mathbb{A}_f)$ on $\mathcal{A}_{k+1/2}(Sp_m(F)\backslash\widetilde{Sp_m(\mathbb{A})})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_\theta(G_{m+1}^J(F)\backslash\widetilde{G_{m+1}^J(\mathbb{A})})$. Every element φ in the tensor product space can be associated to exactly one function G_φ on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$ which is in the tensor product of the spaces of all Hilbert-Siegel modular forms of weight $k+1/2$ and all theta functions on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$. The function G_φ is called a Jacobi form of weight $k+1$ if

$$(\rho\otimes\rho')(\boldsymbol{\gamma})\varphi = \varphi \text{ for any } \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{m+1}^J = G_{m+1}^J(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap \left(\prod_{v<\infty} \Gamma_{m+1,v}\right).$$

Here $\Gamma_{m+1,v}$ is the group defined by (2.2) with m replaced by $m+1$. Notice that

$$\Gamma_{m+1}^J = \Gamma_f \ltimes \left(H_m(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap \left(\prod_{v<\infty} \Gamma_{m+1,v}\right)\right)$$

where Γ_f is the same with which in the proof of Proposition 7.1. It is known and easy to check that this definition for Jacobi forms coincides

with Definition 1.1. The space of all Jacobi forms and all Jacobi cusp forms of weight $k+1$ are denoted by $J_{k+1,1}$ and $J_{k,1}^{\mathrm{CUSP}}$, respectively.

Now we are ready to show our second main result. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$, let θ_λ be the one as in (8.1). The Heisenberg group $H_m(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap (\prod_{v<\infty} \Gamma_{m+1,v})$ leaves all the theta functions θ_λ fixed. Now assume G is a Jacobi form of weight $k+1$. There exist 2^{mn} uniquely determined holomorphic functions G_λ on $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)$ such that

$$G(z,w) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} G_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w).$$

It is known that the function G_λ is a Hilbert-Siegel modular form of weight $k+1/2$ for some congruence subgroup of $Sp_m(F)$ for every λ. And G is a Jacobi cusp form if and only if every G_λ is a cusp form. The space $\oplus_\lambda \mathbb{C}\cdot\theta_\lambda$ forms a representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma_f}$ by $\rho'|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}}$. This representation is isomorphic to the Weil representation Ω_{ψ_1} under the intertwining map $\theta_\lambda \mapsto \Phi_\lambda$, which is irreducible by Property 2.2. Now since $(\theta_\lambda)_\lambda$ form an orthonormal basis of $\oplus_\lambda \mathbb{C}\cdot\theta_\lambda$ and the Weil representation Ω_ψ is unitary, the invariance of G under Γ_f implies that $(\rho|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}}, \oplus_\lambda \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\lambda)$ forms a genuine representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma_f}$ which is isomorphic to $\overline{\Omega_{\psi_1}} = \Omega_{\overline{\psi_1}} = \Omega_\psi$ via the intertwining map $G_\lambda \mapsto \Phi_\lambda$. Note that the intertwining map is unique up to scalar multiplication due to the irreducibility of Ω_ψ. So by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 7.1, we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} G_\lambda(4z) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

Conversely, let $h(z) = \sum_\lambda h_\lambda(z/4) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ where h_λ is given by (7.7). The space $\oplus_\lambda \mathbb{C}\cdot h_\lambda(z)$ forms an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma_f}$ by $\rho|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}}$ which is isomorphic to $\Omega_\psi = \Omega_{\overline{\psi_1}} = \overline{\Omega_{\psi_1}}$ via $h_\lambda \mapsto \Phi_\lambda$. Hence $\rho'|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}} = \overline{\rho|_{\widetilde{\Gamma_f}}}$. Under this condition, we have that $\sum_\lambda h_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w)$ is invariant under Γ_f by $(\rho\otimes\rho')|_{\Gamma_f}$ according to the basic representation theory. Also, $\sum_\lambda h_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w)$ is fixed by the actions of $H_m(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap (\prod_{v<\infty} \Gamma_{m+1,v})$ since so are the theta functions θ_λ, thus forms a Jacobi form.

We conclude our results in the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *For any Jacobi form $G = \sum_\lambda G_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w) \in J_{k+1,1}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} G_\lambda(4z) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

Conversely, for any Hilbert-Siegel modular form $h = \sum_\lambda(z)h_\lambda(4z) \in M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$, *we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{o}^m/(2\mathfrak{o}^m)} h_\lambda(z)\theta_\lambda(z,w) \in J_{k+1,1}.$$

The associations above are inverse to each other and thus give a canonical isomorphism between $M^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ *(resp.* $S^+_{k+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$*) and* $J_{k+1,1}$ *(resp.* $J^{\mathrm{CUSP}}_{k,1}$*).*

References

[1] R. Berndt and R. Schmidt, *Elements of the Representation Theory of the Jacobi group,* Birkhäuser (1998)

[2] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms,* Springer (1985)

[3] T. Ibukiyama, *On Jacobi forms and Siegel modular forms of half integral weights,* Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. Vol. 41 No. 2, 109-124 (1992)

[4] K. Hiraga and T. Ikeda, *On the Kohnen plus space for Hilbert modular forms of half-Integral weight I,* Compositio Mathematica (2013)

[5] T. Ikeda, *On the theory of Jacobi forms and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Eisenstein series,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 34-3, 615-636 (1994)

[6] W. Kohnen, *Modular forms of half-integral weight on* $\Gamma_0(4)$*,* Math. Ann. 248, 249-266 (1980)

[7] R. Ranga Rao, *On Some Explicit Formulas in The Theory of Weil Representation,* Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 157 No. 2, 335-371 (1993)

[8] G. Shimura, *On Eisenstein series of half-integral weight,* Duke Math. J., 52 1985 281-314

Graduate school of mathematics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: ru-su@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp